



Vision West ND Foundation Grant Application Scoring Rubric

MISSION: *Lead, educate, and collaborate to mobilize western North Dakota toward a resilient and prosperous quality of life for all residents.*

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Amount of Request: \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_

	Exemplary (3 points)	Adequate (2 points)	Limited (1 point)	Insufficient or missing (0 points)	Score	Comments
<b>VWND Alignment</b>						
Demonstrated knowledge of VWND Regional Plan & priority areas	Project strongly fits within VWND's mission and strongly promotes at least one of the plan's priority areas.	Project fits within VWND's mission and somewhat addresses a priority area within the VWND Regional Plan.	Project is a community based project, but does not fit well within the VWND Regional Plan.	Project does not further the mission or the priority areas of the VWND Regional Plan.		
<b>Needs Assessment</b>						
Addresses a specific community need	Needs have been identified using data and project has great potential to meet the need.	Needs are identified, but data does not fully support the need.	Needs are identified, but no data is provided to support the need.	No evidence of a needs assessment.		
<b>Impact</b>						
Identification of project goals and expected outcomes	Goals and/or objectives are clearly stated and have strong measurable outcomes that align with the needs assessment.	Goals and/or objectives are stated. Outcomes somewhat align with the needs assessment.	Goals and/or objectives and outcomes are vague or do not align with the needs assessment.	Goals and/or objectives are not stated. No outcomes exist.		
<b>Collaboration</b>						
Plans for partnerships and community collaboration	Identifies collaborative partners; all add value and contribute to the project.	There is some indication of collaborative partners and their contribution.	Collaborative partners are identified, but contribution is unclear.	Critical community partners are missing.		

	Exemplary (3 points)	Adequate (2 points)	Limited (1 point)	Insufficient or missing (0 points)	Score	Comments
<b>Sustainability</b>						
Ability for project to continue in place without need for refunding	Sufficient plans are identified and in place for long-term sustainability without need for additional grants.	Sustainability is addressed, but project may need continued grant funding to exist.	Sustainability may be short-term.	No sustainability plans are in place.		
<b>Budget</b>						
Overall expenditures and income with details	Budget is detailed, cost-effective, fiscally sound and details all project expenditures and income	Budget lacks some detail but provides enough information about expenditures and income to appear fiscally sound.	Budget greatly lacks detail and/or accuracy, has no expenditures or income listed beyond grant budget	Budget lacks required information and/or is not accurate or fiscally sound.		
<b>Evaluation</b>						
Demonstration of success	Proposal includes more than one method to evaluate the project, including an assessment of the process and evaluation of the outcomes.	Proposal includes method to evaluate the project's outcomes, but does not include an assessment of the process.	Proposal includes method to assess the project's process, but no evaluation of the outcomes.	Proposal lacks any methods to assess the process or evaluate the outcomes of the project.		
<b>Recognition</b>						
Recognition of Foundation for funding.	Foundation will receive significant recognition of funding in the community and region.	Foundation will receive recognition of funding in the community.	Foundation will receive limited recognition in either community and region.	It is unclear how the Foundation will be recognized, or the Foundation will not be recognized at all.		

TOTAL POINTS: \_\_\_\_ of potential 24

- Recommended for Full Funding
- Fund in accordance with available resources
- Proposal incomplete or ineligible for NDCF/VWND Foundation funding

Overall Comments:
-------------------